

The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was *not* written for publication and is *not* binding precedent of the Board.

Paper No. 62

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS  
AND INTERFERENCES

---

***Ex parte*** LINDA A. FROEHLICH and RICHARD D. FROEHLICH

---

Appeal No. 2000-0763  
Reexamination Control No. 90/003,612

---

ORDER REMANDING TO EXAMINER

---

A Reply Brief was filed March 23, 2000 (Paper No. 61). In response to the Examiner's Answer entered January 18, 2000 (Paper No. 60). In accordance with 37 CFR

§ 1.193:

The primary examiner must then either acknowledge receipt and entry of the reply brief or withdraw the final rejection and reopen prosecution to respond to the reply brief.

There is no indication on the record whether or not the examiner has responded to the Reply Brief.

Appeal No. 2000-0763  
Reexamination Control No. 90/003,612

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the application is remanded to the Examiner to respond to the Reply Brief and/or to take further action as may be appropriate.

It is important that the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences be informed promptly of any action affecting the appeal (i.e., abandonment, issue, reopening prosecution).

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS  
AND INTERFERENCES

---

Craig R. Feinberg  
Program and Resource Administrator  
(703) 308-9797

Raymond N. Baker, Esq.  
Shanley & Baker  
Suite 430  
2233 Wisconsin Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20007

CRF:llf