

THIS OPINION WAS NOT WRITTEN FOR PUBLICATION

The opinion in support of the decision being entered today
(1) was not written for publication in a law journal and
(2) is not binding precedent of the Board.

Paper No. 46

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

RICHARD W. KATZBERG and
CHARLES L. DUMOULIN
Junior Party¹

v.

CHARLES L. DUMOULIN
Senior Party²

Interference No. 103,785

Before METZ, PATE and MARTIN, Administrative Patent Judges.

¹ Application Serial No. 08/497,024, filed June 30, 1995.
Accorded benefit of 08/257,011, filed June 8, 1994, abandoned.
Assignor to The Regents of the University of California,
Oakland, CA.

² Patent No. 5,335,660, granted August 9, 1994, based on
Application Serial No. 08/105,239, filed August 12, 1993.
Assignor to General Electric Company, Schenectady, NY.

Interference No. 103,785

PATE, Administrative Patent Judge.

JUDGMENT UNDER 37 CFR § 1.662

Now comes the parties in Interference No. 103785 requesting adverse judgment be entered against Charles L. Dumoulin, the senior party under 37 CFR § 1.662(a). Accordingly, the following judgment is entered.

Judgment

Judgment in Interference No. 103,785 is hereby entered against the senior party, Charles L. Dumoulin. Charles L. Dumoulin is not entitled to his patent containing claims 1-4, which claims correspond to the count in interference. Judgment is entered in favor of Richard W. Katzberg and Charles L. Dumoulin, the junior party. Richard W. Katzberg and Charles L. Dumoulin are entitled to a patent containing claims 12, 16, and 17, which claims correspond to the count in interference.

ANDREW H. METZ)
Administrative Patent Judge)

