

THIS OPINION WAS NOT WRITTEN FOR PUBLICATION

The opinion in support of the decision being entered today (1) was not written for publication in a law journal and (2) is not binding precedent of the Board.

Paper No. 10

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS  
AND INTERFERENCES

---

ALAN EDDISON, CHARLES INGOLD,  
and LAWRENCE J. LEISING  
**Junior Party<sup>1</sup>,**

v.

MICHAEL L. SMITH and CHARLES E. GOODMAN  
**Senior Party<sup>2</sup>**

---

Interference No. 104,055

---

JUDGMENT

---

Before URYNOWICZ, PATE and MARTIN, Administrative Patent Judges.

PATE, Administrative Patent Judge.

---

<sup>1</sup> Patent 5,311,952, granted May 17, 1994, based on Application 07/887,502, filed May 22, 1992. Assignor to Schlumberger Technology Corp., Houston, Texas.

<sup>2</sup> Reissue Application 08/443,088, filed May 17, 1995. Accorded the benefit of Patent 5,215,151, granted June 1, 1993, based on Application 07/766,633, filed September 26, 1991.

Interference No. 104,055

Now comes the junior party Eddison et al. with a disclaimer of patent claims 1-4, 6-13, and 15-23. These are all the claims designated as corresponding to the count. Under 37 CFR § 1.662(c), a disclaimer of all patent claims designated as corresponding to the count is to be treated as a request for entry of adverse judgment. Accordingly, the following judgment is entered.

#### **Judgment**

Judgment is hereby entered against Alan Eddison, Charles Ingold, and Lawrence J. Leising, the junior party. Alan Eddison, Charles Ingold, and Lawrence J. Leising are not entitled to their patent containing claims 1-4, 6-13, and 15-23, which claims correspond to the count in interference. Judgment is entered in favor of Michael L. Smith and Charles E. Goodman, the senior party. Michael L. Smith and Charles E. Goodman are entitled to a patent containing claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 9, 10, 17, and 19-48, which claims correspond to the count in interference.

Interference No. 104,055

	)	
STANLEY M. URYNOWICZ	)	
Administrative Patent Judge	)	
	)	
	)	
	)	BOARD OF PATENT
WILLIAM F. PATE, III	)	
Administrative Patent Judge	)	APPEALS AND
	)	
	)	INTERFERENCES
	)	
JOHN C. MARTIN	)	)
Administrative Patent Judge	)	

Counsel for Eddison et al.:

Wayne I. Kanak  
Schlumberger Technology Corporation  
200 Gillingham Lane  
Sugar Land, TX 77478

Counsel for Smith et al.:

William D. Jackson  
LOCKE, PURNELL, RAIN and HARRELL  
2200 Ross Avenue, Suite 2200  
Dallas, TX 75201-6776