

THIS OPINION WAS NOT WRITTEN FOR PUBLICATION

The opinion in support of the decision being entered today (1) was not written for publication in a law journal and (2) is not binding precedent of the Board.

Paper No. 23

Filed by: Trial Section merits Panel
Box Interference
Washington, D.C. 20231
Tel: 703-308-9797
Fax: 703-305-0942

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

MASAO TANAHASHI, TAKESHI SHIBA,
and TOSHIO IKUTA

Junior Party,
(Patent No. 5,546,659),¹

v.

MATTHIAS WETZEL, TERENCE G. ROYLE,
and RAYMOND G. PARSONAGE

Senior Party
(Application 08/649,428)²

Patent Interference No. 104,378

¹ Filed January 24, 1995. Accorded the benefit of application 08/047,501, filed April 19, 1993, now Patent No. 5,398,412, granted March 21, 1995. The real party of interest is Matsushita Electric Works, Ltd.

² Filed May 16, 1996. Accorded the benefit of application 08/244,977, filed November 7, 1994, now Patent No. 5,611,145, granted March 18, 1997, PCT application PCT/EP/92/02960, filed December 18, 1992, GB application 91 27102.3, filed December 20, 1991, and GB application 91 27092.6, filed December 20, 1991. Party Wetzel represents that the real party in interest is Braun GmbH. (Paper No. 11).

Interference No. 104,378
Tanahashi v. Wetzel

Before: McKELVEY, Senior Administrative Patent Judge, and
SCHAFFER and LEE, Administrative Patent Judges.

LEE, Administrative Patent Judge.

Judgment

On November 4, 1999, junior party Tanahashi filed a request for entry of adverse judgment against party Tanahashi. (Paper No. 20). The request is **granted**. Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that judgment with respect to the sole count in this interference is awarded against party Tanahashi and in favor of party Wetzel;

FURTHER ORDERED that Masao Tanahashi, Takeshi Shiba, and Toshio Ikuta, are not entitled to their patent claims 1-5 which correspond to the count;

FURTHER ORDERED that on this record, Matthias Wetzel, Terence G. Royle, and Raymond G. Parsonage, are entitled to their application claims 58-62 which correspond to the count.

FRED E. McKELVEY, Senior)

Interference No. 104,378
Tanahashi v. Wetzel

	Administrative Patent Judge)	
)	
)	
	<hr/>)	
	RICHARD E. SCHAFER)	BOARD OF
PATENT)	
	Administrative Patent Judge)	APPEALS AND
)	INTERFERENCES
)	
	<hr/>)	
	JAMESON LEE)	
	Administrative Patent Judge)	

Interference No. 104,378
Tanahashi v. Wetzel

By Federal Express

Counsel for party Tanahashi

R. Danny Huntington
Burns, Doane, Swecker & Mathis
1737 King Street
Alexandria, Va 22314-2756

Counsel for party Wetzel

Douglas J. Gilbert
Fish & Neave
50th Floor
1251 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10020